

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/506,361	WEH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	JAY R. RIPLEY	3679	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) JAY R. RIPLEY.

(2) James F. Herkenhoff.

**Date of Interview:** 2 October 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application: 80**

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Time: 4:30 p.m.**

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Discussed was suggested language for an Examiner's Amendment of claim 1 to clarify the claimed invention, i.e. the use of the term "configured" in line 15. Applicant agreed that the Examiner could amend claim 1, lines 15-16, by replacing the phrase "the groove being configured to receive" to the phrase --the groove receiving--. Further discussed was correcting crosshatching issues in instant Figures 2 and 3, i.e. applying material representative crosshatching for the springs, retainer rings, etc. where none is currently applied.